# EXHIBIT 17

From: Olivia Flechsiq

To: Sarah Fan; Robert Mussig; Tracey Kennedy Cc: Dolores Leal; Josie Pena; Angie Paz

Re: Snookal, Mark v. Chevron U.S.A., Inc. | RFP Set 4 Subject:

Date: Wednesday, March 5, 2025 10:39:33 AM

Dear Sarah.

Thank you for the meet and confer call yesterday afternoon. As promised, I am writing to memorialize same.

First, despite your previous agreement to produce the documents responsive to Plaintiff's Requests for Production, Set 4, no later than last Monday, March 3, we still have not received any of those responsive documents. Therefore, we could not meaningfully meet and confer about issues related to this document production.

We did discuss Chevron's responses to Plaintiff's Interrogatories, Set 3, specifically that:

- For Interrogatory No. 32, you agreed to supplement this response
- For Interrogatories No. 27-29 and 31, we discussed our disparate positions concerning the accuracy of Defendant's objections, and you agreed to check and get back to us with a final answer regarding Chevron's position no later than this Friday, March 7. I explained that we will stand on the scope as articulated in these Interrogatories, and if any outstanding disputes as to the appropriate scope remain, we will have exhausted our meet and confer efforts on these issues and need to move forward with an IDC before Judge Richlin ASAP.

With respect to Plaintiff's Request for Production (RFP) No. 19, which seeks "Any and all communications by Dr. Eshiole Asekomeh about or concerning Plaintiff," you agreed to provide a supplemental response which includes a privilege log for any documents withheld on a basis of privilege.

With respect to Plaintiff's **RFP No. 21**, which seeks "all communications by anyone in Chevron's Human Resources

Department about or concerning Plaintiff from January 1, 2019 to January 1, 2022," Plaintiff agreed that the scope need not include discussion between Plaintiff and Chevron's Human Resources about third party employees who Plaintiff supervised. This should allay Chevron's third-party privacy concerns. You also agreed to produce a privilege log for any documents withheld on a basis of privilege for this request.

With respect to Plaintiff's RFP No. 33 which seeks "all documents reflecting Chevron's policies, procedures, and/or best practices for investigating complaints of discrimination in California from January 1, 2019 through January 1, 2022." and Plaintiff's RFP No. 34 which seeks "all documents reflecting Chevron's policies, procedures, and/or best practices for providing disability accommodations in California from January 1, 2019 through January 1, 2022." you are continuing to take the position that documents outside of the 2019 time period are "not relevant." We think they are highly relevant, particularly because Mr. Snookal's need for a disability accommodation did not automatically terminate after 2019. In addition, we have already limited the time period to avoid creating an unduly burdensome request. You once again said you would get back to me on this. We have also more than exhausted our meet and confer efforts on these issues, and if you cannot agree to Plaintiff's scope for these requests, we need to have Judge Richlin determine the scope ASAP.

With respect to all of the outstanding items (documents responsive to Plaintiff's RFP's Set 4; supplemental document production and/or responses to Plaintiff's other RFP's listed herein; substantive responses to Plaintiff's Requests for Admission; and supplemental responses to Plaintiff's Interrogatories Set 3 Nos. 27-29 and 31-32), I shared that we need to have all of these final answers no later than this Friday, March 7. I also asked once again that when you provide these items by this Friday March 7, that you please provide dates for the possible IDC next week so we can move forward with Judge Richlin determining the outcome of these disputes.

Last, so that Plaintiff can conclude Dr. Adeyeye's deposition, you said that you are planning to schedule his deposition for later this month when he returns to service (since he is a rotational employee). I also provided you with my current availability for this deposition during the last two weeks of March, and as of now, I'm available any day except March 19, 2025.

Best, Olivia

Olivia Flechsig, Esq. ALLRED, MAROKO & GOLDBERG 6300 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 1500 Los Angeles, CA 90048 (323) 653-6530 (323) 653-1660, Facsimile

New York Office 305 Broadway, Suite 607 ID #:2699

New York, NY 10007 <u>www.amglaw.com</u> <u>oflechsig@amglaw.com</u>

**From:** Olivia Flechsig <oflechsig@amglaw.com> **Sent:** Thursday, February 27, 2025 9:08 PM **To:** Sarah Fan <SFan@sheppardmullin.com>

**Cc:** Dolores Leal <dleal@amglaw.com>; Robert Mussig <RMussig@sheppardmullin.com>; Tracey

Kennedy < TKennedy @sheppardmullin.com >

**Subject:** Re: Snookal, Mark v. Chevron U.S.A., Inc. | RFP Set 4

Dear Sarah,

Thank you for taking the laboring oar on documenting our discussion below. Please see my responses below in red.

We ask that you provide your final position regarding the outstanding disputes regarding the RFP's below, as well RFP's 19, 21, 33, and 34 no later than close of business on Monday, March 3 so that we can move forward with an IDC. Again, please let us know your availability for an IDC after Tuesday, March 4 so we can coordinate our schedules.

I will add to the below that since we did not finish meeting and conferring after over an hour, we scheduled a further meet and confer call for Tuesday, March 4 at 3:00 pm Pacific to discuss Chevron's responses to Interrogatory, Set 3 as well as the RFA's. We also ran out of time to discuss Dr. Adeyeye's deposition, and as you know, we have still not been able to finish his deposition. Any update you can provide on your efforts to reach him would be appreciated.

In terms of timing, you shared that you are intending to serve the actual document production by this Monday, March 3, 2025. However, you were not able to commit to a specific date on which you will serve RFA responses (as opposed to the objections which we have). We cannot agree to wait for these indefinitely, so if these are not supplemented by the end of next week (March 7, 2025), we will add the lack of RFA responses to the list of things we will need to take before Judge Richlin.

Best, Olivia

Olivia Flechsig, Esq. ALLRED, MAROKO & GOLDBERG

6300 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 1500 Los Angeles, CA 90048 (323) 653-6530 (323) 653-1660, Facsimile

New York Office 305 Broadway, Suite 607 New York, NY 10007 www.amglaw.com oflechsig@amglaw.com

**From:** Sarah Fan <SFan@sheppardmullin.com> **Sent:** Wednesday, February 26, 2025 7:38 PM

To: Olivia Flechsig <oflechsig@amglaw.com>; Dolores Leal <dleal@amglaw.com>

Cc: Tracey Kennedy < TKennedy@sheppardmullin.com>; Robert Mussig

<RMussig@sheppardmullin.com>

Subject: Snookal, Mark v. Chevron U.S.A., Inc. | RFP Set 4

Hello Olivia:

Thanks for the call today. I've included my notes below regarding our discussion this evening. Please let me know if anything below doesn't conform to your understanding of our discussion.

-----

#### **REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 47:**

The parties agreed to limit this Request to "all internal and/or external job postings for the Reliability Engineering Manager position in Escravos, Nigeria from January 1, 2019, through December 31, 2019." Correct.

# **REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 48:**

The parties agreed to define the category of documents sought in this Request as "policies and procedures regarding the process for recruiting candidates for rotational expatriate assignments from January 1, 2019, through December 31, 2019." Plaintiff seeks policies and procedures applicable to the recruitment of candidates for rotational expatriate assignments throughout the EEMEA region, including any global policies which would apply to an expatriate assignment in that region. Defendant seeks to limit the scope of this request to policies and procedures which were applicable to the recruitment of candidates for the REM position that Plaintiff applied for, including any applicable regional or global policies. Defendant will review and determine whether the parties can reach an agreement on the scope of this Request. This is correct, and more specifically, one of the reasons why it is not acceptable to Plaintiff to limit the scope to the REM position is because of his subsequent searches for other expatriate assignments for which he was qualified (globally and not at all limited to REM positions specifically).

#### **REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 49:**

ID #:2701

The parties agreed to define the category of documents sought in this Request as "all documents reflecting the selection criteria for rotational expatriate assignments, excluding documents relating to third-party employee applications." Plaintiff seeks the production of such documents from January 1, 2019, through December 31, 2019, for all rotational expatriate assignments worldwide. Defendant will agree to produce documents reflecting the selection criteria for the REM position that Plaintiff applied for, from May 7, 2019, through September 3, 2019, which is the period between the time Plaintiff began applying for the REM position and the time Plaintiff had his offer rescinded. This accurately reflects the dispute, and we have reached an impasse as to the scope.

#### **REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NOS. 50-51:**

The parties agree that Defendant may produce the job description for the positions of the identified individuals if it describes the job duties of those individuals. To clarify, as the Request states, we are looking for the job description *and* job duties for the positions held by the identified individuals. There may be overlap in terms of job duties listed in a job description. If this is a problem, we have reached an impasse.

#### **REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 52-54**

Defendant agrees to produce a privilege log for these Requests. Please plan to supplement the response by the end of next week (March 7).

#### **REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 56**

Defendant agrees to supplement its response to this Request. Please plan to supplement the response by the end of next week (March 7).

### **REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 58**

The parties agreed to limit this Request to documents "sufficient to reflect the entity which compensates employees on rotational expatriate assignment in the REM position which Plaintiff applied to." Defendant agrees to specifically identify the relevant Bates number reflecting responsive information, or to produce a document sufficient to reflect the information requested. Correct, and I explained our position that the documents which Defendant referenced in its existing responses do not on their face "reflect the entity which compensates employees on rotational expatriate assignment."

#### **REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 62-64**

The parties agreed to limit this Request to organizational charts reflecting the chain of command for the identified individuals, both upwards and downwards. Correct.

#### **REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 65**

Plaintiff represented this Request was intended to reference Interrogatory Nos. 22-23. Defendant will not provide a further response to this Request. You mentioned you would confer with Dolores, but that Plaintiff would probably not pursue this Request further. Confirmed that we can agree to drop this request as well as 66.

# **REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 66**

Plaintiff will not pursue this Request further.

**Sarah Fan** | Associate +1 213-617-5549 | direct <u>SFan@sheppardmullin.com</u> | <u>Bio</u>

# **SheppardMullin**

350 South Grand Avenue, 40th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071-3460 +1 213-620-1780 | main www.sheppardmullin.com | LinkedIn

Attention: This message is sent by a law firm and may contain information that is
privileged or confidential. If you received this transmission in error, please notify the
sender by reply e-mail and delete the message and any attachments.
This message is CONFIDENTIAL and may
$contain \ legally \ privileged \ information \ intended \ only \ for \ the \ addressee. \ If \ you \ are \ not \ the$
addressee you may not use, forward, copy or disclose to anyone any information
contained in this message. IF YOU RECEIVED THIS COMMUNICATION IN ERROR,
PLEASE NOTIFY ALLRED, MAROKO $\&$ GOLDBERG IMMEDIATELY BY TELEPHONING THE
SENDER NAMED ABOVE AT 323-653-6530. Thank you.